(Court in Session at 1:39 p.m.)

THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon. We're here on Case No. 10-25-CR-W-HFS. If counsel would state their appearance for the record.

MR. KETCHMARK: David Ketchmark, Daniel Stewart and Brian Casey on behalf of the United States. Also at counsel table is Scott Griswald, from the FBI.

MR. FOWLER: May it please the Court, Your Honor? Mr Quazzani appears in person and by and through Robin Fowler.

THE COURT: All right. We're here today for the detention hearing. And I don't remember now if we, I think we already did the arraignment. So, we're hoping to do the scheduling conference as well while everyone's here. But turning to detention issues, are the parties ready to proceed?

MR. FOWLER: We are, Your Honor, and I have a statement to make that I think will short-circuit the process somewhat.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. FOWLER: I talked to Mr. Quazzani. He's aware of the pleading that was filed under seal by the Government. We had planned on presenting evidence today, but Mr. Quazzani has indicated that he does not want to do that and would like the Court to make a finding based on the sealed pleading regarding the detention issue. And to the extent that matters that are sealed are part of the Court's ruling, I'm sure the Court will do it without being asked, but we would ask that not necessarily the

finding itself of detention but maybe the basis of that to be sealed for obvious reasons.

MR. KETCHMARK: Obviously, no objection to any of that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I'm just -- it's been a while since we've all been here, and I was just going back and looking.

Apparently, we never -- I thought we had started the detention hearing.

MR. KETCHMARK: We did, Your Honor. At the last court proceeding when we were in Judge Larsen's courtroom, we did open the proceedings. At that time, I asked the Court to take judicial notice of the underlying Indictment that was handed down on February the 3rd, and we also basically stipulated and agreed that the information that was contained in the initial Pretrial Services Report.

THE COURT: That's what I thought. And we'll -- oh, okay. I'm sorry. I'm on the wrong -- my docket sheet hasn't been updated to reflect this case. So, I was looking at the docket sheet and not seeing that entered. But I just wanted to make sure that that is what had happened. So, in addition then to the Pretrial Report and the stipulation as to -- I'm sorry -- the Indictment, was that it? Certain facts in the Indictment?

MR. KETCHMARK: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. KETCHMARK: We ask you to take judicial notice of

the Indictment as well, as the parties stipulated to the information contained in the Pretrial Service Report.

THE COURT: Okay. And then we're adding to that the Information that was filed under seal, which is basically the Government's stipulation and proffer of facts for the detention hearing.

MR. KETCHMARK: As well as, Your Honor, we filed a supplemental suggestion in support of detention as part of that sealed pleading.

THE COURT: Right. But in terms of the -- I'm just trying to outline so that the record's clear what evidence the Court has before it on the detention issue, and that would be the Pretrial Services Report, the judicial notice of the Indictment and then the 37-page stipulation?

MR. KETCHMARK: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. FOWLER: Judge, I would also note I think there's been a supplemental report also, and that can be made part of the record as well.

THE COURT: Thank you. And then we have the Supplemental Pretrial Services Report that both sides are willing to have the Court look at and rely on?

MR. KETCHMARK: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Anything else?

MR. KETCHMARK: No, that would factually conclude the

Government's submissions for detention, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. And any argument or anything that anyone wants to make beyond what Mr. Fowler has indicated for the record?

MR. KETCHMARK: No, Your Honor. We'd simply refer the Court to the written submission that we filed under seal, supplemental suggestions in support.

MR. FOWLER: And we have nothing further, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Based on that then, the Court will grant the Government's Motion for Detention, and we will issue a written detention order outlining the basis on which the Court is granting the motion. With respect to detention, is there anything else that the Court needs to address?

MR. FOWLER: Not as far as we're concerned, Your Honor.

MR. KETCHMARK: Nothing from the Government, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. With respect to scheduling issues, are the parties ready to address that particular issue?

MR. STEWART: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. FOWLER: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. The case is set on the March $22^{\rm nd}$ docket. And I don't know if the parties have had a chance to meet to talk about when the case will be ready for trial.

MR. FOWLER: Judge, we have. We have -- I've already received a fair amount of discovery pretty quickly from the Government, and they've indicated other items that we need to be

provided within pretty quick time table. Having said that, though, there are a significant number of documents. I think, candidly, this case is unlikely to go to trial. At the same time, there are a lot of documents to look through to try to determine -- well, a number of factors, guidelines, et cetera. I would ask for 60 days before I file motions. In discussing with the Government, we kind of went through in our head where that might leave us and thought maybe the August trial setting would be appropriate. So, that's kind of our thoughts, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Are you going to then file a motion to continue it until August?

MR. FOWLER: Yes, Your Honor, I was going to file that tomorrow.

THE COURT: Okay. And have you talked to the defendant?

He's in custody. Does he have any objection to continuing the case until August?

MR. FOWLER: He does not, Your Honor. We just discussed it. After I talked to the Government, had that time table, I indicated he has a right to a speedy trial. We were going to move to continue that beyond the 70 days to an August trial setting. He's aware of that and said that was fine.

THE COURT: All right. And right now, so, you want 60 days in which to file pretrial motions, so, that would put it around the first part of May?

MR. FOWLER: Yes, Your Honor.

```
THE COURT: Okay. And right now, are there issues that
 1
2
   you think we'll need to have a hearing on?
3
            MR. FOWLER: I do not think so. I think it will be
   resolved, and I think we may know by that 60-day time table.
4
                                                                   Ιf
   it turns out that we're not going to be able to resolve it and
5
6
   that a hearing's necessary, we should know that by then as well.
7
   I don't think we need to set any other hearings --
8
            THE COURT: Okay.
9
            MR. FOWLER: -- or any motions.
10
            THE COURT: All right. And turning to discovery
11
   matters, defendant has no prior convictions, correct?
12
            MR. STEWART:
                          Correct.
13
            THE COURT: And do you have statements from the
14
   defendant?
15
            MR. STEWART:
                           Yes.
16
            THE COURT: And any evidence obtained by search and
   seizure?
17
18
            MR. STEWART:
                           Yes.
19
            THE COURT: And what was that?
20
                           There are several consents and --
            MR. STEWART:
21
            THE COURT: Consent of defendant?
22
            MR. STEWART:
                          And a search warrant.
            THE COURT: A federal search warrant?
23
            MR. STEWART:
24
                          Yes.
25
             THE COURT: And consent of the defendant as opposed to
```

```
another person?
 1
2
            MR. STEWART: Correct.
 3
            THE COURT: All right. And any electronic --
            MR. STEWART: Actually both. Both consent of the
4
5
   defendant and a relative.
            THE COURT: All right. Any electronic surveillance?
6
7
            MR. STEWART: Yes.
8
            THE COURT: And what was that?
9
            MR. STEWART: There were consentually recorded meetings
10
   with one of the bank officers. One is recorded audio and visual
11
   and the other just audio.
            THE COURT: And any lineu-ps or photo IDs?
12
13
            MR. STEWART:
                          No.
14
            THE COURT: Will you have expert testimony at trial?
15
            MR. STEWART:
                           May.
16
            THE COURT:
                         In what areas?
17
            MR. STEWART: While it's unlikely, there may be some
18
   financial experts that would have to be called at trial relating
19
   to some of the transactions.
20
            THE COURT: And were there any informants?
21
            MR. STEWART:
                          No.
22
            THE COURT: Will there be promises made to any witnesses
   at trial?
23
24
            MR. STEWART:
                           No.
25
            THE COURT: And do you have any Brady or Giglio?
```

```
MR. STEWART:
 1
2
            THE COURT: Mr. Fowler, do you plan to raise any issues
3
   of competency or diminished mental responsibility?
            MR. FOWLER: No, Your Honor.
4
5
            THE COURT: And right now, any defenses other than
6
   general denial?
7
            MR. FOWLER: That would it, Your Honor.
8
            THE COURT: And does the Government have any relevant
   offense conduct?
9
10
            MR. STEWART: Yes, Your Honor.
11
            THE COURT: And Rule 404(b)?
12
            MR. STEWART: Primarily the relevant. There will be
13
   some 404(b), other financial transactions similar to those
14
   charged.
15
            THE COURT: And will both sides provide Jencks Act
   material at least ten days prior to trial?
16
17
            MR. STEWART:
                          Yes.
18
            MR. FOWLER: Yes, Your Honor.
            THE COURT: All right. Is there anything else we need
19
20
   to address today?
21
            MR. STEWART: Not on scheduling.
22
            MR. FOWLER: No, Your Honor.
23
            THE COURT: All right. Then we'll be in recess.
24
                     (Court Adjourned at 1:48 p.m.)
25
```

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceeding in the above-entitled matter.

/s/ Lissa C. Whittaker Ja Signature of transcriber

January 8, 2011
Date